

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,352	11/19/2003	Ramajeyam Gopalraj	LOT920030017US1	7004	
	7590 04/09/200 ARNICK & D'ALESS	EXAMINER			
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC 75 STATE STREET 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			DAFTUAR, SAKET K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112211111,111			2151		
			·	······································	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 04/09/2007		04/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/717,352		GOPALRAJ, RAMAJEYAM				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Saket K. Daftu	ıar	2151				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS (FR 1.136(a). In no event, h on. period will apply and will exp statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timing SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·								
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2003. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consid						
Applicati	on Papers							
10) 🔲 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) control of the drawing(s) be hear the control of th	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/03.	. 4) [8)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,352

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the application filed on November 19th, 2003. Claims
 1-22 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-9 recites the limitation "during a session". To establish a session one ordinary skilled in the art would need a client/server environment. Therefore, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the session may have expired". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "sending a request to the network application" and "determining if a login page is received ... in response to the request". It is not clear why one would need a login page if one would send a request to network application. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation of "session time" and "the determining step".

It is not clear what determining step applicant is referring to, is it for determining

session time or is it for determining a login page? Also, It is not clear what this "session time" applicant is referring to. To establish a session one ordinary skilled in the art would need a client/server environment. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-14 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1 and 10 raises a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C 101.

Claims 1 recite the limitation "a method for obtaining application data for a network application, comprises: obtaining a data page..., receiving the application data..., ensuring that the session..., submitting the application data" and claim 10 further recites "establishing a session..., providing a data page... and receiving the application data". The method steps do not show use of any hardware devices or components and therefore, claims are directed towards a non-statutory subject matter as not being tangible.

Claims 18 recites the limitation "a program product stored on a recordable medium when executed comprises: program code". Claims are directed towards a non-statutory subject matter as not being tangible because a program code doesn't show any functional relationship with computer component. Program codes are neither a hardware component nor it's a statutory process. Therefore, claims 18-22 are non-statutory subject matter as not being tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1- 22, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gupta et al. U.S. Patent Number 6,226,752 B1 (hereinafter Gupta).

As per claim 1, Gupta discloses obtaining a data page from a network application during a session (see column 2, lines 15- 67, Figure 3); receiving the application data using the data page (see column 2, lines 15- 67, Figure 3); ensuring that the session is valid (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); and submitting the application data to the network application when the session is valid (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 2, Gupta discloses establishing the session with the network application (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,352

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 3, Gupta discloses receiving a submission request for the application data (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 4, Gupta discloses determining if the session may have expired (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; Figure 4); and querying the network application for a session status if the session may have expired (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; Figure 4).

As per claim 5, Gupta discloses sending a request to the network application (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); and determining if a login page is received from the network application in response to the request (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 6, Gupta discloses obtaining a session time remaining at a first time (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4); determining a submission time for the submission request (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4); and comparing the session time remaining to a difference between the submission time and the first time (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4).

As per claim 7, Gupta discloses the first time comprises a display time for the data page (column 11, line 45 – column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4).

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 8, Gupta discloses the ensuring step comprises establishing another session with the network application if the session is invalid (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 12, lines 14-24; Figures 3-4).

As per claim 9, Gupta discloses data page is displayed in a first window, and wherein the establishing step includes displaying a login page in a second window (See abstract, see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4, application server redirects the user to login server and when authenticated login server redirects the user back to the application server inherently discloses data page is displayed in a first window, and wherein the establishing step includes displaying a login page in a second window).

As per claim 10, Gupta discloses establishing a session with a client (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); providing a data page to the client, wherein the data page ensures that the session is valid before submitting the application data (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); and receiving the application data from the client (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 11, Gupta discloses providing a login page to the client (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); receiving login data from the client (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column

5, line 41; Figure 3); and authenticating the login data (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 12, Gupta discloses receiving a request from the client for an invalid session (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 24; Figure 3 - 4); and providing the login page to the client in response (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 24; Figure 3 - 4).

As per claim 13, Gupta discloses the data page includes a session time remaining (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figure 3 - 4).

As per claim 14, Gupta discloses determining a display time for the data page (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4); determining a submission time for a submission request (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4); and comparing the session time remaining to a difference between the submission time and the first time (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51; column 7, lines 1-15; column 11, line 45 - column 12, line 6; Figures 3- 4).

As per claim 15, Gupta discloses a session system for establishing a session with a client (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); and a data system for providing a data page to the client and receiving the application data from the client (see column 2, lines 15- 67, column

4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3); wherein the data page ensures that the session is valid before submitting the application data (see column 2, lines 15-67, column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; Figure 3).

As per claim 16, Gupta discloses the system of claim 15, further comprising a display system for displaying pages to a user (see column 5, line 42 - column 6, line 51).

As per claim 17, Gupta discloses the system of claim 15, wherein the session system provides a login page to the client in response to a request for an invalid session (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 41; column 11, line 45 – column 12, line 24; Figure 3 - 4).

As per claims 18-22, claims 18-22 are program product claims of method claims of 1-3, 5-6 and 9. They do not teach or further define over the limitation as recited in claims 1-3, 5-6 and 9. Therefore, claims 18-22 are rejected under same scope as discussed in claims 1-3, 5-6 and 9, supra.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See accompanying PTO 892.
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **THREE**MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. Failure to respond within the period for

Application/Control Number: 10/717,352

Art Unit: 2151

response will result in ABANDONMENT of the applicant (See 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P

Page 9

710.02,71002 (b)).

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Saket K. Daftuar whose telephone number is 571-272-

8363. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-W.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKD

ZARNI MAUNG

INCOMINENT EXAMINEN